UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	V.		
	Ricky Ledale Johnson Defendant	Case No. 1:12 MJ 319	
	Dolondan		
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refor lefendant be detained pending trial.	rm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – Find	dings of Fact	
(1)		18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	66(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is de	eath or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of to	en years or more is prescribed in:*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state of	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but invo	lves:	
	the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon \$ 2250	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed whor local offense.	ile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).		
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presump person or the community. I further find that defendant	otion that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe has not rebutted that presumption.	
	•	Findings (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h		
(for which a maximum prison term of ten years or		
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	 -	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estable will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and	lished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions I the safety of the community.	
		Findings (B)	
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear		
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger		
		e Reasons for Detention	
evidence	a preponderance of the evidence that:	detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing ant is charged in this case with escape from BOP custody,	
	nakes him an unlikely candidate for bond in any event.	ant is charged in this case with escape from DOF custody,	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	September 10, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge